



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Address Reply to the  
Division Indicated  
and Refer to Initials and Number

RWMcL:LB:BRT  
60-235-38

JAN 28 1971

Mr. Richard Helms  
Director, Central Intelligence  
Agency  
Washington, D. C. 20505

Re: United States v. International Business  
Machines Corporation, 69 Civ. 200

Dear Mr. Helms:

In the captioned case, in which the Government charges IBM with monopolization and attempted monopolization of the general purpose digital computer market in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 2), the defendant has served interrogatories and requests for documents, answers to which require the cooperation of all Government agencies.

Enclosed herewith is a copy of the Defendant's Request for Documents - Set I. While the request is quite comprehensive, defendant has agreed to certain limitations. We will communicate with you later regarding the details of production. For the present, in order to arrive at some reasonable approach to the total discovery process, IBM attorneys have requested that we supply documents which describe the organization and function of the various offices, branches, etc. within your agency or department which are involved in the "management, procurement, use, evaluation, selection, transfer or disposal of any data processing product or service." These may include organization charts or manuals reflecting such information and should show the organization for all periods from January 1, 1961 to date. This information would be responsive to Request paragraph 3a.

DOJ review(s)  
completed.


We would appreciate the early production of this information, in duplicate. Photocopies of the original documents are acceptable. However, if any pertinent pages of a larger document are being furnished, copies of title and similar pages should be included to establish the title of the document and its publication date and the authorization for publication.

The writer is the attorney in charge of this suit and either he (Code 187, Ext. 2426) or Mr. Maurice Fitzgerald (Code 187, Ext. 2483) who is acting as liaison on this project may be contacted to answer any questions. In view of the possible future requirements of this project, it is suggested that we be advised of the identity of the person in your agency who we may contact for information in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

RICHARD W. McLAREN  
Assistant Attorney General  
Antitrust Division

By

  
Burton R. Thorman  
Assistant Chief  
Special Litigation Section

Enclosure

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	The Director	1 FEB 1971	
2	Mr. Houston		
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

STATINTL

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
TO: L R H.		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
<p>John &amp; I speculate that since IBM obviously knows much about what we have (and probably it contributes little to their case) they may agree that we can duck.</p>		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241  
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STATINTL